

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/001,611	BOGER, DALE L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application: 121**

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Donald G. Lewis {Reg. No. 28,636}

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 30 November 2006**
**Time: 3:25pm**
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 19, 20, 22-27 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative and left a voice mail message concerning the pending claims. On Thursday, November 30, 2006@1:57pm, Mr. Lewis returned the Examiner's call. The Examiner requested permission to: (1) cancel claims 1, 19, 20 and 22-27, which were withdrawn; (2) replace "within" in claim 32 to "by"; and (3) amend claim 32 to put in the exact "Step B" language found in originally filed claim 1.

88  
12/4/06